# **Optimal Control Theory and the Linear Quadratic Regulator**

#### **Lucas Janson CS/Stat 184(0): Introduction to Reinforcement Learning Fall 2024**





- Feedback from last lecture
- Recap
- General optimal control problem
- The linear quadratic regulator (LQR) problem
- Optimal control solution to LQR

## Feedback from feedback forms

1. Thank you to everyone who filled out the forms! 2.





- Recap
- General optimal control problem
- The linear quadratic regulator (LQR) problem
- Optimal control solution to LQR

#### Bellman Consistency and the Bellman Equations

- Theorem: Every policy  $\pi$  satisfies the Bellman consistency conditions:
	- •<br>•  $V^{\pi}(s) = r(s, \pi(s)) + \gamma \mathbb{E}_{s' \sim P(\cdot | s, \pi(s))}$

- A function  $V: S \rightarrow R$  satisfies the Bellman equations if  $V : S \rightarrow R$  $V(s) = \max_{a} \left\{ r(s, a) + \gamma \mathbb{E}_{s' \sim P(\cdot | s, a)} [V(s')] \right\}, \forall s$
- **Theorem:** 
	- V satisfies the Bellman equations if and only if  $V = V^*$ .

 $[V^{\pi}(s')]$ 

,

#### Value Iteration Algorithm:

 $\left\{ \begin{aligned} r(s,a) + \gamma \sum_{s \in S} P(s' | s, a) V^t(s') \end{aligned} \right\},$  $\nabla s$ *s*′∈*S*  $P(s' | s, a) V^t(s')$ ,  $\forall s$ ∼*P*(⋅|*s*,*a*)  $V^T(s')$ }

\n- 1. Initialization: 
$$
V^0(s) = 0
$$
,  $\forall s$
\n- 2. For  $t = 0, \ldots T - 1$  $V^{t+1}(s) = \max_{a} \left\{ r(s, a) + \gamma \sum_{s' \in S} s$
\n- 3. Return:  $V^T(s)$  $\pi(s) = \arg \max_{a} \left\{ r(s, a) + \gamma \mathbb{E}_{s'} \right\}$
\n

• For 
$$
V \in \mathbb{R}^{|S|}
$$
, define  $\mathcal{T}: \mathbb{R}^{|S|} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{|S|}$ , where  
\n $(\mathcal{T}V)(s) := \max_{a} [r(s, a) + \gamma \mathbb{E}_{s' \sim P(s, a)} V(s')]$ 

- Bellman equations:  $V = TV$
- Value iteration:  $V^{t+1} \leftarrow \mathcal{T} V^t$

#### Convergence of Value Iteration:

- The "infinity norm": For any vector  $x \in R^d$ , define  $||x||_{\infty}$
- 

• Corollary: If we set  $T = \frac{1}{1 - \nu} \ln \left( \frac{1}{f(1 - \nu)} \right)$  iterations, VI will return a value  $V^I$  s.t.  $||V^I - V^{\star}||_{\infty} \leq \epsilon$ . 1  $1 - \gamma$ ln( 1  $V^T$  s.t.  $||V^T - V^{\star}||_{\infty} \leq \epsilon$ 

• VI then has computational complexity  $O(|S|^2|A|T)$ .

• Theorem: Given any  $V$ ,  $V'$ , we have:  $||\mathcal{T}V - \mathcal{T}V'||_{\infty} \leq \gamma ||V - V'||_{\infty}$  $=$  max *i*  $|x_i|$ 

$$
\frac{1}{\epsilon(1-\gamma)}\bigg) \text{ iterations}
$$
\n
$$
V^{\star}\|_{\infty} \leq \epsilon.
$$

#### Policy Iteration (PI)

- Initialization: choose a policy  $\pi^0 : S \mapsto A$
- For  $t = 0, 1, \ldots T 1$ 
	- 1. **Policy Evaluation:** given  $\pi^t$ , compute  $Q^{\pi^t}(s, a)$ :
	-

## 2. **Policy Improvement**: set  $\pi^{t+1}(s) := \arg \max Q^{\pi^t}(s, a)$ *a*

#### Policy Iteration (PI)

- Initialization: choose a policy  $\pi^0 : S \mapsto A$
- For  $t = 0, 1, \ldots T 1$ 
	- 1. **Policy Evaluation:** giv
	- 2. **Policy Improvement**: set

- Computing  $Q^{\pi^t}$ 
	- Computing  $V^{\pi^t}$ :  $O(|S|^3)$  with linear system solving
	- Computing  $Q^{\pi^t}$  with  $V^{\pi^t}$ :  $O(|S|^2|A|)$  using  $Q^{\pi}$

Per iteration complexity:  $O(|S|^3 + |S|^2 |A|)$ 

ren 
$$
\pi^t
$$
, compute  $Q^{\pi^t}(s, a)$ :  
\n $\pi^{t+1}(s) := \arg\max_a Q^{\pi^t}(s, a)$ 

$$
\text{sing } Q^{\pi}(s, a) = r(s, a) + \gamma \mathbb{E}_{s' \sim P(\cdot | s, a)} \left[ V^{\pi}(s') \right]
$$

#### Convergence of Policy Iteration:

- Theorem: PI has two properties:
	- montone improvement:  $V^{\pi^{t+1}}(s) \geq V^{\pi^t}(s)$
	- "contraction":  $\|V^{\pi^{t+1}} V^{\star}\|_{\infty} \leq \gamma \|V^{\pi^{t}} V^{\star}\|_{\infty}$

• Corollary: If we set  $T = \frac{1}{1 - \nu} \ln \left( \frac{1}{f(1 - \nu)} \right)$  iterations,  $P$ I will return a policy  $\pi^{t+1}$  s.t.  $||V^{\pi^{t+1}} - V^{\star}||_{\infty} \leq \epsilon$ 1  $1 - \gamma$ ln( 1  $\frac{\epsilon(1-\gamma)}{2}$ 

• with total computational complexity  $O((|S|^3 + |S|^2 |A|)T).$ 

 $^{3} + |S|^{2} |A| T$ 

#### • For discrete MDPs, we covered some great algorithms for computing the

optimal policy



• For discrete MDPs, we covered some great algorithms for computing the

•But all algorithms scale polynomially in the size of the state and action

- optimal policy
- spaces… what if one or both are infinite?



- For discrete MDPs, we covered some great algorithms for computing the optimal policy
- •But all algorithms scale polynomially in the size of the state and action spaces… what if one or both are infinite?
- In this unit (next 2 lectures), we will discuss computation of good/optimal policies in continuous/infinite state and action spaces



#### • Feedback from last lecture

- Recap
	- General optimal control problem
	- The linear quadratic regulator (LQR) problem
	- Optimal control solution to LQR

# Robotics and Controls











State: position and velocity of the cart, angle and angular velocity of the pole



State: position and velocity of the cart, angle and angular velocity of the pole

Control=action: force on the cart



State: position and velocity of the cart, angle and angular velocity of the pole

Control=action: force on the cart

#### **WARNING!**

Notation change for controls lectures only:

States are x (instead of s)

Actions are called "controls" and are u (instead of a)



State: position and velocity of the cart, angle and angular velocity of the pole

Control=action: force on the cart

#### **WARNING!**

Notation change for controls lectures only:

States are x (instead of s)

Actions are called "controls" and are u (instead of a)

Goal: stabilizing around the point  $(x = x^* , u = 0)$ 



State: position and velocity of the cart, angle and angular velocity of the pole

Control=action: force on the cart

#### **WARNING!**

Notation change for controls lectures only:

States are x (instead of s)

Actions are called "controls" and are u (instead of a)

Goal: stabilizing around the point  $(x = x^* , u = 0)$ 

 $c(x_h, u_h) = u_h^{\top} R u_h + (x_h - x^{\star})^{\top} Q (x_h - x^{\star})$ 



$$
c(x_h, u_h) = u_h^{\mathsf{T}} R u_h + (x_h - x^{\star})^{\mathsf{T}} Q (x_h - x^{\star})
$$

State: position and velocity of the cart, angle and angular velocity of the pole

Control=action: force on the cart

$$
\min_{\pi_0, ..., \pi_{H-1}:X \to U} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{h=0}^{H-1} c(x_h, u_h) \right] \quad \text{s.t.} \quad x_{h+1} = f(x_h, u_h), \quad x_0 \sim \mu_0
$$

Optimal control:

#### **WARNING!**

Notation change for controls lectures only:

States are x (instead of s)

Actions are called "controls" and are u (instead of a)

Goal: stabilizing around the point  $(x = x^* , u = 0)$ 



$$
c(x_h, u_h) = u_h^{\mathsf{T}} R u_h + (x_h - x^{\star})^{\mathsf{T}} Q (x_h - x^{\star})
$$

State: position and velocity of the cart, angle and angular velocity of the pole

Control=action: force on the cart

$$
\min_{\pi_0, ..., \pi_{H-1}:X \to U} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{h=0}^{H-1} c(x_h, u_h) \right] \quad \text{s.t.} \quad x_{h+1} = f(x_h, u_h), \quad x_0 \sim \mu_0
$$

Optimal control:

#### **WARNING!**

Notation change for controls lectures only:

States are x (instead of s)

Actions are called "controls" and are u (instead of a)

Goal: stabilizing around the point  $(x = x^* , u = 0)$ 



General dynamical system is described as  $x_{h+1} = f_h(x_h, u_h, w_h)$ , where

General dynamical system is described as  $x_{h+1} = f_h(x_h, u_h, w_h)$ , where

•  $x_h \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is the state which starts at initial value  $x_0 \sim \mu_0$ ,

General dynamical system is described as  $x_{h+1} = f_h(x_h, u_h, w_h)$ , where

- $x_h \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is the state which starts at initial value  $x_0 \sim \mu_0$ ,
- $u_h \in \mathbb{R}^k$  is the control (action),

General dynamical system is described as  $x_{h+1} = f_h(x_h, u_h, w_h)$ , where

- $x_h \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is the state which starts at initial value  $x_0 \sim \mu_0$ ,
- $u_h \in \mathbb{R}^k$  is the control (action),
- $W_h$  is the noise/disturbance,

General dynamical system is described as  $x_{h+1} = f_h(x_h, u_h, w_h)$ , where

- $x_h \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is the state which starts at initial value  $x_0 \sim \mu_0$ ,
- $u_h \in \mathbb{R}^k$  is the control (action),
- $W_h$  is the noise/disturbance,
- 

•  $f_h$  is a function (the dynamics) that determines the next state  $x_{h+1} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ 

General dynamical system is described as  $x_{h+1} = f_h(x_h, u_h, w_h)$ , where

- $x_h \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is the state which starts at initial value  $x_0 \sim \mu_0$ ,
- $u_h \in \mathbb{R}^k$  is the control (action),
- $W_h$  is the noise/disturbance,
- $f_h$  is a function (the dynamics) that determines the next state  $x_{h+1} \in \mathbb{R}^d$

Objective is to find control policy  $\pi_h$  which minimizes the total cost (horizon  $H$ ),

General dynamical system is described as  $x_{h+1} = f_h(x_h, u_h, w_h)$ , where

- $x_h \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is the state which starts at initial value  $x_0 \sim \mu_0$ ,
- $u_h \in \mathbb{R}^k$  is the control (action),
- $W_h$  is the noise/disturbance,
- 

Objective is to find control policy  $\pi_h$  which minimizes the total cost (horizon  $H$ ), minimize  $\mathbb{E}\left[c_H(x_H)\right.+$ *H*−1 ∑  $h=0$  $c_h(x_h, u_h)$ 

•  $f_h$  is a function (the dynamics) that determines the next state  $x_{h+1} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ 

s.t.  $x_{h+1} = f_h(x_h, u_h, w_h)$ ,  $u_h = \pi_h(x_h)$ ,  $x_0 \sim \mu_0$ 

General dynamical system is described as  $x_{h+1} = f_h(x_h, u_h, w_h)$ , where

- $x_h \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is the state which starts at initial value  $x_0 \sim \mu_0$ ,
- $u_h \in \mathbb{R}^k$  is the control (action),
- $W_h$  is the noise/disturbance,
- $f_h$  is a function (the dynamics) that determines the next state  $x_{h+1} \in \mathbb{R}^d$

Objective is to find control policy  $\pi_h$  which minimizes the total cost (horizon  $H$ ), minimize  $\mathbb{E}\left[c_H(x_H)\right.+$ *H*−1 ∑  $h=0$  $c_h(x_h, u_h)$ 

- 
- Randomness (in the dynamics) enters via  $w_h$ , e.g.,  $w_h \sim \mathcal{N}(0,\Sigma)$

s.t.  $x_{h+1} = f_h(x_h, u_h, w_h)$ ,  $u_h = \pi_h(x_h)$ ,  $x_0 \sim \mu_0$ 

General dynamical system is described as  $x_{h+1} = f_h(x_h, u_h, w_h)$ , where

- $x_h \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is the state which starts at initial value  $x_0 \sim \mu_0$ ,
- $u_h \in \mathbb{R}^k$  is the control (action),
- $W_h$  is the noise/disturbance,
- $f_h$  is a function (the dynamics) that determines the next state  $x_{h+1} \in \mathbb{R}^d$

minimize  $\mathbb{E}\left[c_H(x_H)\right.+$ 

- 
- Randomness (in the dynamics) enters via  $w_h$ , e.g.,  $w_h \sim \mathcal{N}(0,\Sigma)$
- Note  $c_H$  separated out because by convention there is no  $u_H$

Objective is to find control policy  $\pi_h$  which minimizes the total cost (horizon  $H$ ), *H*−1 ∑  $h=0$  $c_h(x_h, u_h)$ 

s.t.  $x_{h+1} = f_h(x_h, u_h, w_h)$ ,  $u_h = \pi_h(x_h)$ ,  $x_0 \sim \mu_0$ 

### Discretize to finite state/action spaces?

 $x \in \mathbb{R}^d, u \in \mathbb{R}^k$
Idea: Round states and controls onto an *ϵ*-grid of their spaces; then use tools from finite MDPs

 $x \in \mathbb{R}^d, u \in \mathbb{R}^k$ 

- -

 $x \in \mathbb{R}^d, u \in \mathbb{R}^k$ 

Idea: Round states and controls onto an  $\epsilon$ -grid of their spaces; then use tools from finite MDPs

E.g., if  $\epsilon = 0.01$ , round x and u to 2 decimal places

Idea: Round states and controls onto an *ϵ*-grid of their spaces; then use tools from finite MDPs

E.g., if  $\epsilon = 0.01$ , round x and u to 2 decimal places

Assuming state/control spaces are bounded, this makes both finite

 $x \in \mathbb{R}^d, u \in \mathbb{R}^k$ 

Assuming state/control spaces are bounded, this makes both finite

Recall: VI/PI computation times scaled polynomially in |*S*| and |*A*|

 $x \in \mathbb{R}^d, u \in \mathbb{R}^k$ 

Idea: Round states and controls onto an  $\epsilon$ -grid of their spaces; then use tools from finite MDPs

E.g., if  $\epsilon = 0.01$ , round x and u to 2 decimal places

- 
- -
	-
	-
	-

 $x \in \mathbb{R}^d, u \in \mathbb{R}^k$ 

Idea: Round states and controls onto an  $\epsilon$ -grid of their spaces; then use tools from finite MDPs

E.g., if  $\epsilon = 0.01$ , round x and u to 2 decimal places

Assuming state/control spaces are bounded, this makes both finite

Recall: VI/PI computation times scaled polynomially in |*S*| and |*A*|

But curse of dimensionality means  $|S|$  and  $|A|$  will scale like  $(1/\epsilon)^d$ 

Assuming state/control spaces are bounded, this makes both finite

Recall: VI/PI computation times scaled polynomially in |*S*| and |*A*|

But curse of dimensionality means  $|S|$  and  $|A|$  will scale like  $(1/\epsilon)^d$ 

 $x \in \mathbb{R}^d, u \in \mathbb{R}^k$ 

Idea: Round states and controls onto an  $\epsilon$ -grid of their spaces; then use tools from finite MDPs

E.g., if  $\epsilon = 0.01$ , round x and u to 2 decimal places

E.g.,  $\epsilon = 0.01$ ,  $d = k = 10$  gives  $|S|^2 |A|$  on the order of  $10^{60}$ ...



- Idea: Round states and controls onto an *ϵ*-grid of their spaces; then use tools from finite MDPs
	- E.g., if  $\epsilon = 0.01$ , round x and u to 2 decimal places
	- Assuming state/control spaces are bounded, this makes both finite
	- Recall: VI/PI computation times scaled polynomially in |*S*| and |*A*|
	- But curse of dimensionality means  $|S|$  and  $|A|$  will scale like  $(1/\epsilon)^d$ 
		- E.g.,  $\epsilon = 0.01$ ,  $d = k = 10$  gives  $|S|^2 |A|$  on the order of  $10^{60}$ ...
- Even the idea of discretizing relies on continuity (i.e., rounding nearby values to the same grid point only works if system treats them nearly the same),

 $x \in \mathbb{R}^d, u \in \mathbb{R}^k$ 

- -
	-
	-
	- -
- 
- 

 $x \in \mathbb{R}^d, u \in \mathbb{R}^k$ 



Idea: Round states and controls onto an *ϵ*-grid of their spaces; then use tools from finite MDPs

E.g., if  $\epsilon = 0.01$ , round x and u to 2 decimal places

Assuming state/control spaces are bounded, this makes both finite

Recall: VI/PI computation times scaled polynomially in |*S*| and |*A*|

But curse of dimensionality means  $|S|$  and  $|A|$  will scale like  $(1/\epsilon)^d$ 

E.g.,  $\epsilon = 0.01$ ,  $d = k = 10$  gives  $|S|^2 |A|$  on the order of  $10^{60}$ ...

Even the idea of discretizing relies on continuity (i.e., rounding nearby values to the same grid point only works if system treats them nearly the same),

So why not rely on this more formally by assuming smoothness/structure on the dynamics *f* and cost *c*?



- Feedback from last lecture
- Recap
- General optimal control problem
	- The linear quadratic regulator (LQR) problem
	- Optimal control solution to LQR

Linear dynamics:  $x_{h+1} = f(x_h, u_h, w_h) = Ax_h + Bu_h + w_h$ 

Linear dynamics:  $x_{h+1} = f(x_h, u_h, w_h) = Ax_h + Bu_h + w_h$ Quadratic cost function:  $c(x_h, u_h) = x_h^{\top} Q x_h + u_h^{\top} R u_h$ ,  $c_H(x_H) = x_H^{\top} Q x_H$ 

Linear dynamics:  $x_{h+1} = f(x_h, u_h, w_h) = Ax_h + Bu_h + w_h$ Quadratic cost function:  $c(x_h, u_h) = x_h^{\top} Q x_h + u_h^{\top} R u_h$ ,  $c_H(x_H) = x_H^{\top} Q x_H$ Gaussian noise:  $w_h \sim \mathcal{N}(0,\Sigma)$ 

- Linear dynamics:  $x_{h+1} = f$ Quadratic cost function:  $c(x_h, u_h)$ Gaussian no
	-

• Why not linear for *c*? Want it bounded below so we can minimize it

$$
f(x_h, u_h, w_h) = Ax_h + Bu_h + w_h
$$
  
=  $x_h^\top Q x_h + u_h^\top Ru_h, \quad c_H(x_H) = x_H^\top Q x_H$   
use:  $w_h \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$ 

- Linear dynamics:  $x_{h+1} = f$ Quadratic cost function:  $c(x_h, u_h)$ Gaussian no
	- $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  and  $R \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$  are positive definite matrices

• Why not linear for *c*? Want it bounded below so we can minimize it

$$
f(x_h, u_h, w_h) = Ax_h + Bu_h + w_h
$$
  
=  $x_h^\top Q x_h + u_h^\top Ru_h, \quad c_H(x_H) = x_H^\top Q x_H$   
use:  $w_h \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$ 

- Linear dynamics:  $x_{h+1} = f$ Quadratic cost function:  $c(x_h, u_h)$ Gaussian no
	-
	- $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  and  $R \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$  are positive definite matrices
	- $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$ ,  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  determine the dynamics

• Why not linear for *c*? Want it bounded below so we can minimize it

$$
f(x_h, u_h, w_h) = Ax_h + Bu_h + w_h
$$
  
=  $x_h^\top Q x_h + u_h^\top Ru_h, \quad c_H(x_H) = x_H^\top Q x_H$   
use:  $w_h \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$ 

- Linear dynamics:  $x_{h+1} = f$ Quadratic cost function:  $c(x_h, u_h)$ Gaussian no
	-
	- $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  and  $R \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$  are positive definite matrices
	- $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}, B \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}, \Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  determine the dynamics
	-

• Why not linear for *c*? Want it bounded below so we can minimize it • Note lack of subscripts on *c* (except at *H* ) and *f*: time-homogeneous

$$
f(x_h, u_h, w_h) = Ax_h + Bu_h + w_h
$$
  
=  $x_h^\top Q x_h + u_h^\top Ru_h, \quad c_H(x_H) = x_H^\top Q x_H$   
use:  $w_h \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$ 

#### Is LQR useful? Surprisingly yes, despite its simplicity!

- Surprisingly yes, despite its simplicity!
- Any smooth dynamics function is <u>locally</u> approximately linear, and any smooth function with a minimum is locally approximately quadratic near its minimum



- Surprisingly yes, despite its simplicity!
- Any smooth dynamics function is <u>locally</u> approximately linear, and any smooth function with a minimum is locally approximately quadratic near its minimum
- E.g., think of heating/cooling a room: if done right, temperature should rarely deviate much from a fixed value, and shouldn't have to do too much heating or cooling, i.e., states and controls stay <u>local</u> to some fixed points!





- Surprisingly yes, despite its simplicity!
- Any smooth dynamics function is <u>locally</u> approximately linear, and any smooth function with a minimum is locally approximately quadratic near its minimum
- E.g., think of heating/cooling a room: if done right, temperature should rarely deviate much from a fixed value, and shouldn't have to do too much heating or cooling, i.e., states and controls stay local to some fixed points!
	- In fact, because the LQR model is so well-studied in control theory, many humanengineered systems are designed to be approximately linear where possible



Surprisingly yes, despite its simplicity!

- Any smooth dynamics function is <u>locally</u> approximately linear, and any smooth function with a minimum is <u>locally</u> approximately quadratic near its minimum
- E.g., think of heating/cooling a room: if done right, temperature should rarely deviate much from a fixed value, and shouldn't have to do too much heating or cooling, i.e., states and controls stay <u>local</u> to some fixed points!
- In fact, because the LQR model is so well-studied in control theory, many humanengineered systems are designed to be approximately linear where possible
- That said, it is indeed far too simple for many more complex (nonlinear) systems, though next lecture we will see how to extend it to some nonlinear systems to get surprisingly good solutions

Robot moving in 1-d by choosing to apply force  $u_h$  left (negative) or right (positive)



Robot moving in 1-d by choosing to apply force  $u_h$  left (negative) or right (positive)

Newton: Force = mass  $\times$  acceleration, so if vehicle mass =  $m$ , acceleration =



- Robot moving in 1-d by choosing to apply force  $u_h$  left (negative) or right (positive)
	- Newton: Force = mass  $\times$  acceleration, so if vehicle mass =  $m$ , acceleration =
		- If time steps are separated by  $\delta$  (small), then we can approximate acceleration (derivative of velocity) by finite difference of velocities  $v_h$ :  $v_h - v_{h-1}$ *δ* =  $u_h^{\phantom{\dagger}}$ *m*



acceleration*<sup>h</sup>* =

- 
- 
- If time steps are separated by  $\delta$  (small), then we can approximate acceleration
	- acceleration*<sup>h</sup>* =
	- - $v_h =$

Robot moving in 1-d by choosing to apply force  $u_h$  left (negative) or right (positive)

Newton: Force = mass  $\times$  acceleration, so if vehicle mass =  $m$ , acceleration =

(derivative of velocity) by finite difference of velocities  $v_h$ :  $v_h - v_{h-1}$ *δ* =  $u_h^{\phantom{\dagger}}$ *m*



Same trick to approximate velocity (derivative of position) via positions  $p_h$ :  $p_h - p_{h-1}$ *δ*

- Robot moving in 1-d by choosing to apply force  $u_h$  left (negative) or right (positive)
	- Newton: Force = mass  $\times$  acceleration, so if vehicle mass =  $m$ , acceleration =
	- If time steps are separated by  $\delta$  (small), then we can approximate acceleration (derivative of velocity) by finite difference of velocities  $v_h$ : acceleration*<sup>h</sup>* =  $v_h - v_{h-1}$ *δ* =  $u_h^{\phantom{\dagger}}$ *m*
		-
		- - $v_h =$
			- So if state  $x_h = (p_h, v_h)$ , we basically get linear dynamics!



Same trick to approximate velocity (derivative of position) via positions  $p_h$ :  $p_h - p_{h-1}$ *δ*

### LQR Value and Q functions

#### LQR Value and Q functions

 $V_h^{\pi}(x) = \mathbb{E}\left[x_H^{\top}Qx_H\right]$ *H*−1 ∑ *i*=*h*

Given a policy  $\pi = (\pi_0, \ldots, \pi_{h-1})$ , define the value function  $V^\pi_h: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  as:  $(u_i^{\top} Q x_i + u_i^{\top} R u_i) \mid u_i = \pi_i(x_i) \; \forall i \geq h, x_h = x$ 

#### LQR Value and Q functions

 $V_h^{\pi}(x) = \mathbb{E}\left[x_H^{\top}Qx_H\right]$ *H*−1 ∑ *i*=*h*

and the Q function  $\mathcal{Q}_h^\pi: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as:  $Q_h^{\pi}(x, u) = \mathbb{E}\left[x_H^{\mathsf{T}}Qx_H\right]$ *H*−1 ∑ *i*=*h*  $(x_i^{\mathsf{T}} \mathcal{Q} x_i + u_i^{\mathsf{T}})$ 

Given a policy  $\pi = (\pi_0, \ldots, \pi_{h-1})$ , define the value function  $V^\pi_h: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  as:  $(u_i^{\top} Q x_i + u_i^{\top} R u_i) \mid u_i = \pi_i(x_i) \; \forall i \geq h, x_h = x$ 

$$
u_i^{\mathsf{T}} Ru_i) \mid u_h = u, u_i = \pi_i(x_i) \; \forall i > h, x_h
$$





- Feedback from last lecture
- Recap
- General optimal control problem
- The linear quadratic regulator (LQR) problem
	- Optimal control solution to LQR

#### $V_h^{\star}(x) = \min$ *π*  $V_h^{\pi}(x) = \min$  $\pi_h$ ,  $\pi_{h+1}$ ,…,  $\pi_{H-1}$  $E\left[x_H^\mathsf{T}Qx_H\right]+$

*H*−1 ∑ *i*=*h*  $(u_i^{\top} Q x_i + u_i^{\top} R u_i) \mid u_i = \pi_i(x_i) \ \forall i \geq h, x_h = x$ 



#### $V_h^{\star}(x) = \min$ *π*  $V_h^{\pi}(x) = \min$  $\pi_h$ ,  $\pi_{h+1}$ ,…,  $\pi_{H-1}$  $E\left[x_H^\mathsf{T}Qx_H\right]+$

$$
+ \sum_{i=h}^{H-1} (x_i^\top Q x_i + u_i^\top R u_i) \mid u_i = \pi_i(x_i) \; \forall i \ge h, x_h = x \big]
$$

1.  $V_h^\star$  is a quadratic function, i.e.,  $V_h^\star(x) = x^\top P_h x + p_h$  for some  $P_h \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  and  $p_h \in \mathbb{R}^d$ 

#### **Theorem**:

2. The optimal policy  $\pi_h^\star$  is linear, i.e.,  $\pi_h^\star(x) = K_h x$  for some  $K_h \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$ 3.  $P_h$ ,  $p_h$ , and  $K_h$  can be computed exactly



#### $V_h^{\star}(x) = \min$ *π*  $V_h^{\pi}(x) = \min$  $\pi_h$ ,  $\pi_{h+1}$ ,…,  $\pi_{H-1}$  $E\left[x_H^\mathsf{T}Qx_H\right]+$

$$
+ \sum_{i=h}^{H-1} (x_i^\top Q x_i + u_i^\top R u_i) \mid u_i = \pi_i(x_i) \; \forall i \ge h, x_h = x \big]
$$

1.  $V_h^\star$  is a quadratic function, i.e.,  $V_h^\star(x) = x^\top P_h x + p_h$  for some  $P_h \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  and  $p_h \in \mathbb{R}^d$ 

#### **Theorem**:

- 2. The optimal policy  $\pi_h^\star$  is linear, i.e.,  $\pi_h^\star(x) = K_h x$  for some  $K_h \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$
- 3.  $P_h$ ,  $p_h$ , and  $K_h$  can be computed exactly

We will cover the steps of the proof the theorem and derive the optimal policy along the way via dynamic programming




Dynamic programming (finite-horizon), stepping backwards in time from *H* to 0



Dynamic programming (finite-horizon), stepping backwards in time from *H* to 0

1. **Base case:** Show that  $V_H^{\star}(x)$  is quadratic



1. **Base case:** Show that  $V_H^{\star}(x)$  is quadratic

- -
	-

c) Show  $V_h^{\star}(x)$  is quadratic

- Dynamic programming (finite-horizon), stepping backwards in time from *H* to 0
	-

2. Inductive hypothesis: Assuming  $V_{h+1}^{\star}(x)$  is quadratic, a) Show that  $Q_h^{\star}(x, u)$  is quadratic (in both  $x$  and  $u$ ) b) Derive the optimal policy  $\pi_h^\star(x) = \arg\min_{\mu} Q_h^\star(x,\mu)$ , and show that it's linear *u*  $Q_h^{\star}(x, u)$ 



1. **Base case:** Show that  $V_H^{\star}(x)$  is quadratic

- -
	-

c) Show  $V_h^{\star}(x)$  is quadratic

3. Conclusion:  $V_h^{\star}(x)$  is quadratic and  $\pi_h^{\star}(x)$  is linear and we'll have their formulas

- Dynamic programming (finite-horizon), stepping backwards in time from *H* to 0
	-

2. Inductive hypothesis: Assuming  $V_{h+1}^{\star}(x)$  is quadratic, a) Show that  $Q_h^{\star}(x, u)$  is quadratic (in both  $x$  and  $u$ ) b) Derive the optimal policy  $\pi_h^\star(x) = \arg\min_{\mu} Q_h^\star(x,\mu)$ , and show that it's linear *u*  $Q_h^{\star}(x, u)$ 



Recall the value function at a given *h* is:

$$
V_h^{\pi}(x) = \mathbb{E}\left[x_H^{\top}Qx_H + \sum_{i=h}^{H-1} (x_i^{\top}Qx_i + u_i^{\top}Ru_i) \middle| u_i = \pi_i(x_i) \,\forall i \ge h, x_h = x\right]
$$

Recall the value function at a given *h* is:

$$
V_h^{\pi}(x) = \mathbb{E}\left[x_H^{\top}Qx_H + \sum_{i=h}^{H-1} (x_i^{\top}Qx_i + u_i^{\top}Ru_i) \middle| u_i = \pi_i(x_i) \,\forall i \ge h, x_h = x\right]
$$

For  $V_H^{\pi}$ , everything disappears except first term  $x_H^\top Q x_H = x^\top Q x$ :  $V_H^{\star}(x) = x^{\top}Qx$ 

Recall the value function at a given *h* is:

$$
V_h^{\pi}(x) = \mathbb{E}\left[x_H^{\top}Qx_H + \sum_{i=h}^{H-1} (x_i^{\top}Qx_i + u_i^{\top}Ru_i) \middle| u_i = \pi_i(x_i) \,\forall i \ge h, x_h = x\right]
$$

For  $V_H^{\pi}$ , everything disappears except first term  $x_H^\top Q x_H = x^\top Q x$ :

 $V_H^{\star}(x) = x^{\top}Qx$ 

Denoting  $P_H := Q$  and  $p_H := 0$ , we get  $V_H^{\star}(x) = x^{\top} P_H x + p_H$ 

Denoting 
$$
P_H := Q
$$
 and  $p_H := 0$ , we get  
\n
$$
V_H^{\star}(x) = x^{\top} P_H x + p_H
$$

 $(P_h$  and  $p_h$  didn't do much here, but we're going to define them recursively in the next step)

Recall the value function at a given *h* is:

$$
V_h^{\pi}(x) = \mathbb{E}\left[x_H^{\top}Qx_H + \sum_{i=h}^{H-1} (x_i^{\top}Qx_i + u_i^{\top}Ru_i) \middle| u_i = \pi_i(x_i) \,\forall i \ge h, x_h = x\right]
$$

For  $V_H^{\pi}$ , everything disappears except first term  $x_H^\top Q x_H = x^\top Q x$ :  $V_H^{\star}(x) = x^{\top}Qx$ 

#### Assume  $V_{h+1}^{\star}(x) = x^{\top}P_{h+1}x + p_{h+1}$ , for all  $x$ , where  $P_{h+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  and  $p_{h+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$

#### Assume  $V_{h+1}^{\star}(x) = x^{\top}P_{h+1}x + p_{h+1}$ , for all  $x$ , where  $P_{h+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  and  $p_{h+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$  $Q_h^{\star}(x, u) = c(x, u) + \mathbb{E}_{x' \sim f(x, u, w_{h+1})}[V_{h+1}^{\star}(x')]$

#### Assume  $V_{h+1}^{\star}(x) = x^{\top}P_{h+1}x + p_{h+1}$ , for all  $x$ , where  $P_{h+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  and  $p_{h+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$  $Q_h^{\star}(x, u) = c(x, u) + \mathbb{E}_{x' \sim f(x, u, w_{h+1})}[V_{h+1}^{\star}(x')]$

$$
= x^{\top}Qx + u^{\top}Ru + \mathbb{E}_{x' \sim f(x, u, w_{h+1})} [V_{h+1}^{\star}(
$$

 $(x')$ 

Assume 
$$
V_{h+1}^{\star}(x) = x^{\top}P_{h+1}x + p_{h+1},
$$
  
\n $Q_h^{\star}(x, u) = c(x, u) + \mathbb{E}_{x' \sim f(x, u, w_{h+1})} [V_{h+1}^{\star}(x')]$   
\n $= x^{\top}Qx + u^{\top}Ru + \mathbb{E}_{x' \sim f(x, u, w_{h+1})} [V_{h+1}^{\star}(x)]$   
\n $= x^{\top}Qx + u^{\top}Ru + \mathbb{E}_{w_{h+1} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I)} [V_{h+1}^{\star}]$ 

#### Assume  $V_{h+1}^{\star}(x) = x^{\top}P_{h+1}x + p_{h+1}$ , for all  $x$ , where  $P_{h+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  and  $p_{h+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$

 $(x')$ ]

 $h_1 (Ax + Bu + w_{h+1})$ 

Assume 
$$
V_{h+1}^*(x) = x^T P_{h+1} x + p_{h+1}
$$
, for all  $x$ , where  $P_{h+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  and  $p_{h+1} \in \mathbb{R}^d$   
\n $Q_h^*(x, u) = c(x, u) + \mathbb{E}_{x' \sim f(x, u, w_{h+1})} [V_{h+1}^*(x')]$   
\n $= x^T Qx + u^T Ru + \mathbb{E}_{x' \sim f(x, u, w_{h+1})} [V_{h+1}^*(x')]$   
\n $= x^T Qx + u^T Ru + \mathbb{E}_{w_{h+1} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I)} [V_{h+1}^*(Ax + Bu + w_{h+1})]$   
\n $= x^T Qx + u^T Ru + \mathbb{E}_{w_{h+1} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I)} [(Ax + Bu + w_{h+1})^T P_{h+1}(Ax + Bu + w_{h+1}) + p_{h+1}](Ax + Bu + w_{h+1})]$ 

 $Ax + Bu + w_{h+1}(p_{h+1})$ 

Assume 
$$
V_{h+1}^{\star}(x) = x^{\top}P_{h+1}x + p_{h+1}
$$
, for all  $x$ , where  $P_{h+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  and  $p_{h+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$   
\n $Q_h^{\star}(x, u) = c(x, u) + \mathbb{E}_{x' \sim f(x, u, w_{h+1})} [V_{h+1}^{\star}(x')]$   
\n $= x^{\top}Qx + u^{\top}Ru + \mathbb{E}_{x' \sim f(x, u, w_{h+1})} [V_{h+1}^{\star}(x')]$   
\n $= x^{\top}Qx + u^{\top}Ru + \mathbb{E}_{w_{h+1} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I)} [V_{h+1}^{\star}(Ax + Bu + w_{h+1})]$   
\n $= x^{\top}Qx + u^{\top}Ru + \mathbb{E}_{w_{h+1} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I)} [(Ax + Bu + w_{h+1})^{\top}P_{h+1}(Ax + Bu + w_{h+1}) + p_{h+1}]$   
\n $= x^{\top} (Q + A^{\top}P_{h+1}A) x + u^{\top} (R + B^{\top}P_{h+1}B) u + 2x^{\top}A^{\top}P_{h+1}Bu + \mathbb{E}_{w_{h+1} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I)} [w_{h+1}^{\top}P_{h+1}w_{h+1}]$ 

$$
x')\Big]
$$

 $p_{h+1}$ 



Assume 
$$
V_{h+1}^*(x) = x^\top P_{h+1} x + p_{h+1}
$$
, for all  $x$ , where  $P_{h+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  and  $p_{h+1} \in \mathbb{R}^d$   
\n $Q_h^*(x, u) = c(x, u) + \mathbb{E}_{x' \sim f(x, u, w_{h+1})} [V_{h+1}^*(x')]$   
\n $= x^\top Qx + u^\top Ru + \mathbb{E}_{x' \sim f(x, u, w_{h+1})} [V_{h+1}^*(x')]$   
\n $= x^\top Qx + u^\top Ru + \mathbb{E}_{w_{h+1} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I)} [V_{h+1}^*(Ax + Bu + w_{h+1})]$   
\n $= x^\top Qx + u^\top Ru + \mathbb{E}_{w_{h+1} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I)} [(Ax + Bu + w_{h+1})^\top P_{h+1}(Ax + Bu + w_{h+1}) + p_{h+1}]$   
\n $= x^\top (Q + A^\top P_{h+1} A) x + u^\top (R + B^\top P_{h+1} B) u + 2x^\top A^\top P_{h+1} Bu + \mathbb{E}_{w_{h+1} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I)} [w_{h+1}^\top P_{h+1} w_{h+1}] + i$   
\n $= x^\top (Q + A^\top P_{h+1} A) x + u^\top (R + B^\top P_{h+1} B) u + 2x^\top A^\top P_{h+1} Bu + \text{tr} (\sigma^2 P_{h+1}) + p_{h+1}$ 

$$
\mathcal{K}'\big)\Big] \\
$$



 $Q_h^{\star}(x, u) = c(x, u) + \mathbb{E}_{x' \sim f(x, u, w_{h+1})}[V_{h+1}^{\star}(x')]$  $= x^{\top} (Q + A^{\top}P_{h+1}A)x + u^{\top} (R + B^{\top}P_{h+1}B) u + 2x^{\top}A^{\top}P_{h+1}Bu + \text{tr} (\sigma^2 P_{h+1}) + p_{h+1}$ 

# $Q_h^{\star}(x, u) = c(x, u) + \mathbb{E}_{x' \sim f(x, u, w_{h+1})}[V_{h+1}^{\star}(x')]$

 $\pi_h^{\star}(x) = \arg \min$ *u*  $Q_h^{\star}(x, u)$ 

 $Q_h^{\star}(x, u) = c(x, u) + \mathbb{E}_{x' \sim f(x, u, w_{h+1})}[V_{h+1}^{\star}(x')]$ 

 $\pi_h^{\star}(x) = \arg \min$ *u*  $Q_h^{\star}(x, u)$ Set  $\nabla_u \mathcal{Q}_h^{\star}(x, u) = 0$  and solve for *u*:

$$
Q_h^{\star}(x, u) = c(x, u) + \mathbb{E}_{x' \sim f(x, u, w_{h+1})} \left[ V_{h+1}^{\star}(x') \right]
$$
  
=  $x^{\top} (Q + A^{\top} P_{h+1} A) x + u^{\top} (R + B)$ 

 $\pi_h^{\star}(x) = \arg \min$ *u*  $Q_h^{\star}(x, u)$ Set  $\nabla_u \mathcal{Q}_h^{\star}(x, u) = 0$  and solve for *u*:  $\nabla_u Q_h^{\star}(x, u) = \nabla_u \left[ u^\top (R + B^\top P_{h+1} B) u + 2x^\top A^\top P_{h+1} B u \right]$ 

$$
Q_h^{\star}(x, u) = c(x, u) + \mathbb{E}_{x' \sim f(x, u, w_{h+1})} \left[ V_{h+1}^{\star}(x') \right]
$$
  
=  $x^{\top} (Q + A^{\top} P_{h+1} A) x + u^{\top} (R + B)$ 

 $\pi_h^{\star}(x) = \arg \min$ *u*  $Q_h^{\star}(x, u)$ Set  $\nabla_u \mathcal{Q}_h^{\star}(x, u) = 0$  and solve for *u*:

 $\nabla_u Q_h^{\star}(x, u) = \nabla_u \left[ u^\top (R + B^\top P_{h+1} B) u + 2x^\top A^\top P_{h+1} B u \right]$  $= 2 (R + B^{T}P_{h+1}B) u + 2B^{T}P_{h+1}Ax$ 

$$
Q_h^{\star}(x, u) = c(x, u) + \mathbb{E}_{x' \sim f(x, u, w_{h+1})} \left[ V_{h+1}^{\star}(x') \right]
$$
  
=  $x^{\top} (Q + A^{\top} P_{h+1} A) x + u^{\top} (R + B)$ 

 $\pi_h^{\star}(x) = \arg \min$ *u*  $Q_h^{\star}(x, u)$ Set  $\nabla_u \mathcal{Q}_h^{\star}(x, u) = 0$  and solve for *u*:  $= 2 (\overline{R} + B)$ 

 $\pi_h^{\star}(x) = -(R + B^{\top}P_{h+1}B)^{-1}B^{\top}P_{h+1}A x$ 

$$
\nabla_{u} Q_{h}^{\star}(x, u) = \nabla_{u} \left[ u^{\top} \left( R + B^{\top} P_{h+1} B \right) u + 2x^{\top} A^{\top} P_{h+1} B u \right]
$$

$$
= 2 \left( R + B^{\top} P_{h+1} B \right) u + 2B^{\top} P_{h+1} A x
$$

 $:=K_h$ 

$$
\pi_h^{\star}(x) = -(R +
$$

$$
:=-K_hx
$$

 $= x^{\top} (Q + A^{\top}P_{h+1}A)x + u^{\top} (R + B^{\top}P_{h+1}B) u + 2x^{\top}A^{\top}P_{h+1}Bu + \text{tr} (\sigma^2 P_{h+1}) + p_{h+1}$ 

$$
Q_h^{\star}(x, u) = c(x, u) + \mathbb{E}_{x' \sim f(x, u, w_{h+1})} \left[ V_{h+1}^{\star}(x') \right]
$$
  
=  $x^{\top} (Q + A^{\top} P_{h+1} A) x + u^{\top} (R + B)$ 

 $\pi_h^{\star}(x) = \arg \min$ *u*  $Q_h^{\star}(x, u)$ Set  $\nabla_u \mathcal{Q}_h^{\star}(x, u) = 0$  and solve for *u*:  $= 2 (\bar{R} + B)$ 

$$
\nabla_{u} Q_{h}^{\star}(x, u) = \nabla_{u} \left[ u^{\top} \left( R + B^{\top} P_{h+1} B \right) u + 2x^{\top} A^{\top} P_{h+1} B u \right]
$$

$$
= 2 \left( R + B^{\top} P_{h+1} B \right) u + 2B^{\top} P_{h+1} A x
$$

 $h_n^{\star}(x) = -(R + B^{\top}P_{h+1}B)^{-1}B^{\top}P_{h+1}A x$ 

 $:=K_h$ 

 $Q_h^{\star}(x, u) = x^{\top} (Q + A^{\top} P_{h+1} A) x + u^{\top} (R + B^{\top} P_{h+1} B) u + 2x^{\top} A^{\top} P_{h+1} B u + \text{tr} (\sigma^2 P_{h+1}) + p_{h+1}$  $\pi_h^{\star}(x) = -(R + B^{\top}P_{h+1}B)^{-1}B^{\top}P_{h+1}A x$  $:=K_h$ 



 $Q_h^{\star}(x, u) = x^{\top} (Q + A^{\top} P_{h+1} A) x + u^{\top} (R + B^{\top} P_{h+1} B) u + 2x^{\top} A^{\top} P_{h+1} B u + \text{tr} (\sigma^2 P_{h+1}) + p_{h+1}$  $\pi_h^{\star}(x) = -(R + B^{\top}P_{h+1}B)^{-1}B^{\top}P_{h+1}A x$  $:=K_h$ 

 $V_h^{\star}(x) = Q_h^{\star}(x, \pi_h^{\star}(x))$ 



 $Q_h^{\star}(x, u) = x^{\top} (Q + A^{\top} P_{h+1} A) x + u^{\top} (R + B^{\top} P_{h+1} B) u + 2x^{\top} A^{\top} P_{h+1} B u + \text{tr} (\sigma^2 P_{h+1}) + p_{h+1}$  $\pi_h^{\star}(x) = -(R + B^{\top}P_{h+1}B)^{-1}B^{\top}P_{h+1}A x$  $:=K_h$ 

 $V_h^{\star}(x) = Q_h^{\star}(x, \pi_h^{\star}(x))$ 

#### $= x^{\top} (Q + A^{\top} P_{h+1} A) x + x^{\top} K_h^{\top} (R + B^{\top} P_{h+1} B) K_h x - 2 x^{\top} A^{\top} P_{h+1} B K_h x + \text{tr} (\sigma^2 P_{h+1}) + p_{h+1}$



 $Q_h^{\star}(x, u) = x^{\top} (Q + A^{\top} P_{h+1} A) x + u^{\top} (R + B^{\top} P_{h+1} B) u + 2x^{\top} A^{\top} P_{h+1} B u + \text{tr} (\sigma^2 P_{h+1}) + p_{h+1}$  $\pi_h^{\star}(x) = -(R + B^{\top}P_{h+1}B)^{-1}B^{\top}P_{h+1}A x$  $:=K_h$ 

 $V_h^{\star}(x) = Q_h^{\star}(x, \pi_h^{\star}(x))$ 

$$
= x^{\top} (Q + A^{\top} P_{h+1} A) x + x^{\top} K_{h}^{\top} (R + B^{\top} P_{h+1} B) K_{h} x - 2 x^{\top} A^{\top} P_{h+1} B K_{h} x + \text{tr} (\sigma^{2} P_{h+1}) + p_{h+1} B K_{h} x + \text{tr} (\sigma^{2} P_{h+1}) + \sigma^{2} K_{h}^{\top} (R + B^{\top} P_{h+1} B) K_{h} x + \sigma^{2} K_{h}^{\top} (R + B^{\top} P_{h+1} B) K_{h} x + \text{tr} (\sigma^{2} P_{h+1}) + \sigma^{2} K_{h}^{\top} (R + B^{\top} P_{h+1} B) K_{h} x + \sigma^{2} K_{h}^{\top} (R + B^{\top} P_{h+1} B) K_{h} x + \text{tr} (\sigma^{2} P_{h+1}) + \sigma^{2} K_{h}^{\top} (R + B^{\top} P_{h+1} B) K_{h} x + \text{tr} (\sigma^{2} P_{h+1}) + \sigma^{2} K_{h}^{\top} (R + B^{\top} P_{h+1} B) K_{h} x + \text{tr} (\sigma^{2} P_{h+1}) + \sigma^{2} K_{h}^{\top} (R + B^{\top} P_{h+1} B) K_{h} x + \text{tr} (\sigma^{2} P_{h+1}) + \sigma^{2} K_{h}^{\top} (R + B^{\top} P_{h+1} B) K_{h} x + \text{tr} (\sigma^{2} P_{h+1}) + \text{tr} (\sigma^{2} P_{h+1} B) K_{h} x + \text{tr} (\
$$



 $Q_h^{\star}(x, u) = x^{\top} (Q + A^{\top} P_{h+1} A) x + u^{\top} (R + B^{\top} P_{h+1} B) u + 2x^{\top} A^{\top} P_{h+1} B u + \text{tr} (\sigma^2 P_{h+1}) + p_{h+1}$  $\pi_h^{\star}(x) = -(R + B^{\top}P_{h+1}B)^{-1}B^{\top}P_{h+1}A x$  $:=K_h$ 

$$
P_h = Q + A^{\top} P_{h+1} A - A^{\top} P_{h+1} B (R + B^{\top} P_{h+1} B)^{-1} B^{\top} P_{h+1} A
$$
  

$$
p_h = \text{tr} (\sigma^2 P_{h+1}) + p_{h+1}
$$

$$
V_h^{\star}(x) = Q_h^{\star}(x, \pi_h^{\star}(x))
$$
  
=  $x^{\top} (Q + A^{\top} P_{h+1} A) x + x^{\top} K_h^{\top} (R + B)$ 

$$
= x^{\top} (Q + A^{\top} P_{h+1} A) x + x^{\top} K_{h}^{\top} (R + B^{\top} P_{h+1} B) K_{h} x - 2 x^{\top} A^{\top} P_{h+1} B K_{h} x + \text{tr} (\sigma^{2} P_{h+1}) + p_{h+1} B K_{h} x + \text{tr} (\sigma^{2} P_{h+1}) + \sigma^{2} K_{h}^{\top} (R + B^{\top} P_{h+1} B) K_{h} x
$$



 $Q_h^{\star}(x, u) = x^{\top} (Q + A^{\top} P_{h+1} A) x + u^{\top} (R + B^{\top} P_{h+1} B) u + 2x^{\top} A^{\top} P_{h+1} B u + \text{tr} (\sigma^2 P_{h+1}) + p_{h+1}$  $\pi_h^{\star}(x) = -(R + B^{\top}P_{h+1}B)^{-1}B^{\top}P_{h+1}A x$  $:=K_h$ 

$$
P_h = Q + A^{\top} P_{h+1} A - A^{\top} P_{h+1} B (R + B^{\top} P_{h+1} B)^{-1} B^{\top} P_{h+1} A
$$
  

$$
p_h = \text{tr} (\sigma^2 P_{h+1}) + p_{h+1}
$$

*V*<sup>⋆</sup> *<sup>h</sup>* (*x*) = *Q*<sup>⋆</sup> *<sup>h</sup>* (*x*, *π*<sup>⋆</sup> *<sup>h</sup>* (*x*)) Collecting the quadratic and constant terms together, *V* where: <sup>⋆</sup> *<sup>h</sup>* (*x*) = *x*<sup>⊤</sup>*Phx* + *ph*, = *x*<sup>⊤</sup> (*Q* + *A*<sup>⊤</sup>*Ph*+1*A*) *x* + *x*⊤*K*<sup>⊤</sup> *<sup>h</sup>* (*R* + *B*<sup>⊤</sup>*Ph*+1*B*) *Khx* − 2*x*⊤*A*<sup>⊤</sup>*Ph*+1*BKhx* + tr (*σ*<sup>2</sup>



**Ricatti Equation**

#### $V_H^{\star}(x) = x^{\top}Qx$ , define  $P_H = Q, p_H = 0$ ,

define  $P_H = Q, p_H = 0$ ,

$$
V_H^{\star}(x) = x^{\top}Qx,
$$

We have shown that  $V_h^{\star}(x) = x^{\top} P_h x + p_h$ , where:  $P_h = Q + A^T P_{h+1} A - A^T P_{h+1} B (R + B^T P_{h+1} B)^{-1} B^T P_{h+1} A$  $p_h = \text{tr}(\sigma^2 P_{h+1}) + p_{h+1}$ 

define  $P_H = Q, p_H = 0$ ,

$$
V_H^{\star}(x) = x^{\top}Qx,
$$

We have shown that V  $P_h = Q + A^T P_{h+1} A - A^T P_h$  $p_h = \text{tr}(\sigma^2 P_{h+1}) + p_{h+1}$ 

 $K_h = (R + B)$ 

$$
V_h^{\star}(x) = x^{\top} P_h x + p_h, \text{ where:}
$$
  

$$
\Gamma P_{h+1} B(R + B^{\top} P_{h+1} B)^{-1} B^{\top} P_{h+1} A
$$

Along the way, we also have shown that  $\pi_h^\star(x) = -\,K_hx$ , where:

$$
\big\lceil P_{h+1} B \big\rceil^{-1} B^\top P_{h+1} A
$$

define  $P_H = Q, p_H = 0$ ,

$$
V_H^{\star}(x) = x^{\top}Qx,
$$

We have shown that V  $P_h = Q + A^T P_{h+1} A - A^T P_{h+1} A$  $p_h = \text{tr}(\sigma^2 P_{h+1}) + p_{h+1}$ 

 $K_h = (R + B)$ 

Optimal policy has nothing to do with initial distribution  $\mu_0$  or the noise  $\sigma^2$ !

$$
V_h^{\star}(x) = x^{\top} P_h x + p_h, \text{ where:}
$$
  

$$
\Gamma P_{h+1} B(R + B^{\top} P_{h+1} B)^{-1} B^{\top} P_{h+1} A
$$

Along the way, we also have shown that  $\pi_h^\star(x) = -\,K_hx$ , where:

$$
\tau_{h+1}B)^{-1}B^{\top}P_{h+1}A
$$



- Feedback from last lecture
- Recap
- General optimal control problem
- The linear quadratic regulator (LQR) problem
- Optimal control solution to LQR

Feedback:

bit.ly/3RHtlxy





#### Attendance:

bit.ly/3RcTC9T



- Optimal control: Find optimal policy in MDP with continuous state/action spaces • Linear quadratic regulator (LQR) is canonical problem in optimal control
- - -Linear dynamics, Gaussian errors, quadratic costs
	- -Optimal value and policy follow from dynamic programming